# The Biowin Advantage

Volume 3 Number 2 : August 2014

## Introducing the Thermal Hydrolysis Unit

EnviroSim Associates | McMaster Innovation Park | 175 Longwood Road S | Suite 114A Hamilton, ON | L8P-0A1 | Canada | P: +1 (905) 481-2607 | F: +1 (905) 481-2610 web : www.envirosim.com | email : info@envirosim.com

#### Introduction

In this issue we describe the thermal hydrolysis (TH) unit and demonstrate its application in a whole-plant simulation. The TH unit is used to simulate the breakdown of particulate components in a sludge stream generally into soluble components. The unit allows for simulation of potential impacts of sludge pre-treatment technologies on process performance such as biogas production and soluble nutrient concentrations in downstream digesters.

The TH unit is a dimensionless mass balance converter that instantaneously converts the particulate state variables into predefined sets of other state variables, and the user defines the extent of conversion and the fractional distribution between 'products' of hydrolysis.

A picture of the thermal hydrolysis unit in a BioWin flowsheet is shown below:



The sets of state variables into which particulate components may be converted are based on an extensive analysis of data for various pretreatment processes. The user adjusts the conversion fractions such that the predicted COD and nutrient concentrations in the pretreated sludge match measured or expected values. Therefore, the TH unit may be used to model the conversions accomplished by any type of pretreatment process (not only high-pressure thermal hydrolysis). Thus other types of pretreatment such as ozonation, sonication and chemical oxidation may be represented in BioWin.

The default conversion fractions in the thermal hydrolysis unit were empirically derived to achieve the conversions typically observed when WAS is treated in a batch high-pressure thermal hydrolysis (HPTH) process at around 160 to 170°C at 7 bars for approximately 30 minutes. The performance indicators associated with this pretreatment are summarized in the following table:

ATTRIBUTE	VALUE
VSS Destruction	30 to 50 %
COD Solubilization	30 to 50 %
Inert Soluble COD Generation	2 to 5 %
Organic N Solubilization	30 to 50 %
TCOD	Conserved
TN	Conserved
Biomass	Inactivated

## **Exploring the Thermal Hydrolysis Unit**

The **Operation** tab provides access to the hydrolysis parameters. Clicking the **Hydrolysis parameters** button presents the interface for defining the fate of particulate components of a sludge stream.

Click button to edit hydrolysis parameters				
Hydrolysis parameters				
		-		
Paramater				
Name	Default	Value		
Fraction of biomass converted	1.0000	1.0000		
Fraction of converted biomass going to endog, residue (remainder to Xsp)	0.2000	0.2000		
Fraction of endogenous converted	0	0		
Fraction of converted endog, going to unbiodeg, sol. (remainder to Xsp)	0.5000	0.5000		
Fraction unbiodegradable particulate converted (all to Xsp)	0	0		
Fraction of Xs converted	0.9500	0.9500		
Fraction of converted Xs that is oxidized (remainder solubilized)	0	0		
Fraction of converted Xs going to sol. Sus	0.0500	0.0500		
Fraction of remaining converted Xs converted to Sbsc (the rest reports as Sbsa)	0.5000	0.5000		
Fraction of Xon hydrolyzed	0.9500	0.9500		
Fraction of converted Xon going to Nus	0.0500	0.0500		
Fraction of remaining converted Xon converted to Nos (the rest reports as NH3)	1.0000	1.0000		
Fraction of Xon hydrolyzed Fraction of converted Xon going to Nus Fraction of remaining converted Xon converted to Nos (the rest reports as NH3)	0.9500	0.9500		
Print all Set current tab to default values	1		ΟΚ	Cance

The TH unit parameter editor allows users to specify the degree to which major particulate components of sludge VSS (biomass [Z], endogenous residue [ $Z_E$ ], unbiodegradable particulate COD [ $X_1$ ], biodegradable particulate COD [ $X_{SP}$ ]) will be affected by the thermal hydrolysis process. The user may also specify the fraction of biodegradable particulate organic nitrogen [ $X_{ON}$ ] that is hydrolyzed by pretreatment. Possible products from the conversions of individual components are as follows:

#### Biomass (OHO, PAO, AOB, NOB, etc.):

- A portion is converted to endogenous residue (Z<sub>E</sub>);
- The remainder adds to particulate slowly biodegradable COD (X<sub>SP</sub>).

#### Endogenous Residue (Z<sub>E</sub>):

- A portion is converted to unbiodegradable soluble COD (SUS);
- The remainder adds to particulate slowly biodegradable COD ( $X_{SP}$ ).

#### Particulate Inert COD from Influent f<sub>UP</sub> (X<sub>I</sub>):

• All the converted X<sub>I</sub> adds to particulate slowly biodegradable COD (X<sub>SP</sub>).

#### Slowly Biodegradable COD (X<sub>SP</sub> and X<sub>SC</sub>):

- A portion may be oxidized and the remainder is solubilized;
- The solubilized portion is distributed between:
  - Unbiodegradable soluble COD (S<sub>US</sub>);
  - Soluble complex readily biodegradable COD (S<sub>BSC</sub>);
  - Acetate (S<sub>BSA</sub>);

#### Particulate Biodegradable Organic N (X<sub>ON</sub>):

- A portion may be converted to unbiodegradable soluble organic N (N<sub>US</sub>);
- The remainder is distributed between:
  - Biodegradable soluble organic N (N<sub>OS</sub>);
  - Ammonia.

These conversion pathways are illustrated in the five tables below where the left and right-hand columns of each table represent the conditions before and after pretreatment, respectively:



Each conversion pathway and default conversion fraction is explained in greater detail below:

## **Conversion of biomass**

A setting of **1.0** for **Fraction of biomass converted** is applied as the default, which means that all of the incoming biomass (i.e. all 9 biomass types in BioWin) will be converted. This value was selected because batch HPTH processes have been shown to essentially sterilize the activated sludge (Donoso-Bravo et al., 2010; Gurieff et al., 2011; Burger et al., 2012). The **Fraction of converted biomass going to endogenous residue** is set at a default value of **0.2**, i.e. the endogenous residue fraction defined by the endogenous respiration approach for organism decay. The remaining converted biomass is reported as  $X_{SP}$ . The conversion of biomass in the TH unit releases particulate biodegradable organic N and P, similar to the decay of biomass.

#### Conversion of endogenous residue

A setting of **0** for **Fraction of endogenous converted** is applied as the default. This value was selected because HPTH has been shown to increase the rate but not the extent of downstream digestion (Burger et al., 2012). Should the user choose to convert some  $Z_E$ , one specifies the **Fraction of converted endogenous going to unbiodegradable soluble** (S<sub>US</sub>). The remaining converted  $Z_E$  is reported as  $X_{SP}$ . Similar to the conversion of biomass, the conversion of  $Z_E$  in the TH unit releases particulate biodegradable organic N and P.

#### Conversion of unbiodegradable particulate COD

Similar to  $Z_E$ , which is a form of unbiodegradable particulate COD, a setting of **0** for **Fraction** of unbiodegradable particulate converted (all to  $X_{SP}$ ) is applied as the default. Should the user choose to convert some  $X_I$ , it is converted entirely to  $X_{SP}$ . The conversion of  $X_I$  in the thermal hydrolysis unit releases particulate biodegradable organic N and P, similar to the decay of biomass.

**Note:** By inputting non-zero values for **Fraction of endogenous converted** and **Fraction of unbiodegradable particulate converted (all to X\_{SP})** fractions, it is possible to convert components of sludge VSS that BioWin normally considers to be unbiodegradable into a biodegradable form.

## Conversion of biodegradable particulate COD

A setting of **0.95** for **Fraction of X<sub>S</sub> converted** is applied as the default. This means that almost all of the biodegradable particulate COD *entering and produced within* the TH element is hydrolyzed. Thus almost all of the X<sub>S</sub> generated in the conversion of Z, Z<sub>E</sub> and X<sub>I</sub> in the previously described processes is solubilized in this process. Of the converted X<sub>S</sub>, a default fraction of **0** is oxidized since it has been shown that the total COD of the sludge is conserved during HPTH (Morgan-Sagasume et al., 2010). Of the converted X<sub>S</sub>, a default value of **0.05** is reported as S<sub>US</sub> since it has been shown that HPTH at temperatures above 150°C generates a small fraction of refractory compounds, i.e. unbiodegradable soluble COD (Bougrier et al., 2007, Climent et al., 2007, Dwyer et al., 2008, Donoso-Bravo et al., 2010). Of the *remaining* converted X<sub>S</sub>, a default value of **0.5** is reported as S<sub>BSC</sub> and the remainder is reported as S<sub>BSA</sub>. Morgan-Sagasume et al. 2010 showed that HPTH produces volatile fatty acids.

#### Conversion of biodegradable particulate organic N

A setting of **0.95** for **Fraction of X<sub>ON</sub> hydrolyzed** is applied as the default. This value is equivalent to the default hydrolyzed fraction for X<sub>S</sub> since it has been shown that all types of organics (proteins, carbohydrates, etc.) are solubilized to the same extent by HPTH pretreatment (Burger et al., 2012). By using different fractions for the hydrolysis of X<sub>ON</sub> *versus* X<sub>S</sub>, the user may force the pretreatment process to favour generation of organics with lower or higher N content. The **Fraction of X<sub>ON</sub> hydrolyzed** applies to the X<sub>ON</sub> *entering and produced within* the TH element. (X<sub>ON</sub> may be produced within the TH element via Z, Z<sub>E</sub> and X<sub>1</sub> conversion). The default value for **Fraction of X<sub>S</sub> going to soluble S<sub>US</sub>,** i.e. **0.05**. The **Fraction of remaining converted X<sub>ON</sub> converted to N<sub>OS</sub> (the rest reports as NH3)** is set at a default of value **1.0**. This value was selected because it has been shown that proteins are solubilized rather than mineralized by HPTH (Donoso-Bravo et al., 2010; Bougrier et al., 2008; Burger 2012).

**Note:** In the TH element, the **Fraction of X\_S converted** is automatically applied to the hydrolysis of  $X_{OP}$ .

## Summary Process Information for the Thermal Hydrolysis Unit

When you hover your cursor over the thermal hydrolysis element, process information is shown in the summary pane below the drawing board, as depicted in the example below:



The particulate and filtered COD and TKN concentrations, and the VFA and ammonia concentrations are listed, as well as the residual VSS and TSS, and pH. The displayed VSS destruction is calculated identically to that in the anaerobic digester element: (VSS<sub>IN</sub> - VSS<sub>OUT</sub>) / VSS<sub>IN</sub> \* 100%.

Any oxygen requirement in the TH unit is reported in units of kg/hr (or lb/hr). This indicates the oxygen required if any  $X_S$  is oxidized; that would be applicable in pretreatment processes such as wet air oxidation or ozonation. BioWin assumes this oxygen requirement is automatically satisfied by an internal supply within the pretreatment unit.

## Case Study:

## Parallel Treatment Plants with and without Thermal Hydrolysis

The impacts of implementing thermal hydrolysis prior to anaerobic digestion are investigated using **this BioWin file**. The influent element, physical dimensions and operation of Plants A and B are identical except that Plant A incorporates thermal hydrolysis whereas Plant B does not. Various model scenarios are simulated for a range of volumetric ratios of waste activated sludge to primary sludge (WAS:PS) while maintaining the flow rate to the digester at 45 m<sup>3</sup>/d to achieve a constant digester HRT of 20 d. [Reducing digester HRT from 20 to 10 days is also considered for the TH case]. In each scenario, the default conversion fractions are applied in the thermal hydrolysis element hence TCOD is conserved across the element.

As previously mentioned, the default conversion fractions in the TH unit were empirically derived for the HPTH pretreatment of WAS only. It is expected that these default conversion fractions may be applied when the TH unit is used to model the HPTH pretreatment of combined sludge and PS only. Should the user find that the predicted VSS destruction and COD solubilization in the TH unit do not match observed values, it is recommended that the **Fraction of X<sub>S</sub> converted** first be adjusted. Unless it has been shown that thermal hydrolysis favours generation of organics with lower or higher N content, the **Fraction of X<sub>ON</sub> hydrolyzed** should also be set equivalent to the **Fraction of X<sub>S</sub> converted**. For example, if the predicted VSS destruction is higher than the measured value, the **Fraction of X<sub>S</sub> converted** is set at **1.0** and the predicted VSS destruction is still less than the measured value then the **Fraction of Z<sub>E</sub> converted** and/or **Fraction of X<sub>I</sub> converted** may be increased from the default value of **0** until the predicted and measured VSS destruction match.

In each scenario, the steady-state simulation is run from seed values. The SRT in each plant is determined by summing the total solids mass in the reactors (Zone #1, Zone #2 and Aerobic) and the SST and dividing by the sludge wasted *via* the WAS splitter, *i.e.* a constant value of  $150 \text{ m}^3$ /d. Because the liquid train is operated identically in each plant and scenario, the SRT in both plants is a constant value, *i.e.* 10.8 d. Typical raw wastewater fractions are applied in the influent and the F<sub>UP</sub> fraction is 0.13.



## **Model Scenarios**

The table below shows the main operating characteristics (input and output) for the TH unit in Plant A. For each scenario, the volumetric WAS:PS ratio is indicated.

ATTRIBUTE	TH Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6
		WAS only	80:20	60:40	40:60	20:80	PS only
VSS (mg/L)	Input	18,245	17,444	16,643	15,841	15,040	14,239
	Output	9,705	8,482	7,258	6,035	4,812	3,589
TSS (mg/L)	Input	23,925	22,537	21,149	19,761	18,374	16,986
	Output	13,710	12,228	10,745	9,263	7,780	6,298
Particulate COD	Input	26,694	25,892	25,091	24,290	23,488	22,687
(mg/L)	Output	14,541	12,777	11,013	9,250	7,486	5,723
Soluble COD	Input	33	70	108	146	184	222
(mg/L)	Output	12,185	13,186	14,186	15,186	16,186	17,186
VFA COD (mg/L)	Input	0	3	6	9	12	14
	Output	5,802	6,265	6,727	7,190	7,653	8,116
Particulate TKN	Input	1,630	1,421	1,213	1,005	796	588
(mgN/L)	Output	803	681	560	438	317	195
Soluble TKN	Input	3	10	18	25	33	40
(mgN/L)	Output	830	750	671	592	513	433
Ammonia	Input	1	7	14	20	27	33
(mgN/L)	Output	1	7	14	20	27	33
PO4 (mgP/L)	Input	1	2	2	3	3	4
	Output	570	492	414	336	258	180
pH	Input	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.1	7.2	7.3
	Output	2.8	2.9	2.9	4.0	3.1	3.1

As shown in the above table, the suspended solids concentration decreases and the soluble COD and TKN concentrations increase across the TH unit in every scenario, as expected. The pH drops across the TH unit. This is mainly due to the considerable amount of VFAs that are formed. In addition, hydrolysis of particulate organic phosphorous generates phosphate which also works to lower the pH. The ammonia concentration is unchanged across the TH unit as the literature has shown that proteins are solubilized rather than mineralized by HPTH.

The pH drop across the TH unit is primarily due to the formation of VFAs. The results of the model scenarios with TH (Plant A) and without TH (Plant B) are summarized in the table below.

ATTRIBUTE	Plant	1	2	3	4	5	6
		WAS only	80:20	60:40	40:60	20:80	PS only
* VSS destruction	Α	47	51	56	62	68	75
(%)	В	36	42	48	55	63	47
Digester off-gas flow	Α	13	14	16	17	19	20
rate (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	В	9	11	13	15	17	12
NH3 from digester	Α	783	701	618	536	454	371
(mgN/L)	В	641	585	529	472	415	220
Nos from digester	Α	5	4	3	3	2	2
(mgN/L)	В	0	0	0	0	0	1
N <sub>US</sub> from digester	Α	42	38	34	29	25	21
(mgN/L)	В	7	6	4	3	2	1
PO4 from digester	Α	570	490	410	330	250	170
(mgP/L)	В	463	403	342	281	220	99
Sus from digester	Α	636	685	734	784	833	883
(mgCOD/L)	В	112	95	79	63	47	30
X <sub>S</sub> from digester	Α	6	7	7	8	9	9
(mgCOD/L)	В	23	28	34	44	68	6316
pH in digester	Α	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.5
	В	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.4

\*The VSS destruction in Plant A essentially occurs entirely in the TH unit.

The above table shows that for each scenario, the VSS destruction and digester off-gas flow rate are both higher in Plant A than in Plant B. This clearly demonstrates the advantage of implementing HPTH pretreatment prior to anaerobic digestion.

There are potential disadvantages of implementing thermal hydrolysis pretreatment. As shown in the table above, pretreating the sludge by HPTH generates more NH3,  $N_{OS}$ ,  $N_{US}$ , PO4 and  $S_{US}$  in the digester effluent. This could be problematic for the plant when the digester supernatant is recycled back to the liquid train.

As the volumetric proportion of PS delivered to the digester increases, the VSS destruction and digester off-gas flow rate increases. This is expected since the PS contains more than 20 times the concentration of  $X_S$  as is contained in the WAS. This is determined by viewing the mass rate of  $X_S$  (i.e. essentially all  $X_{SP}$ ) in the side stream of the splitters directing PS and WAS to the digester when the volumetric WAS:PS ratio to the digester is set at 50:50.

Increasing the volumetric proportion of PS that each plant digests improves (*i.e.* decreases) the NH3, N<sub>OS</sub>, N<sub>US</sub> and PO4 in the digester effluent. This is expected as the majority of these N and P species originate from active biomass (Z) and the biomass content of PS is negligible. By comparison, biomass comprises the majority of the VSS of the WAS.

As the volumetric proportion of PS that is digested increases, the  $S_{US}$  in the digester effluent also decreases in Plant B. This is expected as the only process in the anaerobic digester that generates  $S_{US}$  is the decay of  $Z_{PAO}$  and the  $Z_{PAO}$  content of PS is negligible. However, the opposite trend occurs in Plant A. The introduction of the TH unit adds up to two other process that generate  $S_{US}$ , namely that generated upon  $X_E$  and  $X_S$  conversion. The VSS of the PS is mostly comprised of  $X_S$ ; hence  $S_{US}$  in the digester effluent increases as the volumetric ratio of PS increases. The default setting for the **Fraction of converted**  $X_S$  going to soluble  $S_{US}$  is 0.05. If the predicted plant effluent COD exceeds the measured level at a plant where the digester supernatant is recycled back to the liquid train, the user may consider lowering the **Fraction of converted**  $X_S$  going to soluble  $S_{US}$  from the default value when using the thermal hydrolysis unit to model the HPTH pretreatment of combined sludge.

In the above table, the  $X_S$  concentration leaving the digester is consistently less than 100 mg COD/L except for Plant B in Scenario 6. An  $X_S$  concentration less than 100 mg COD/L leaving the digester indicates that the maximum VSS destruction essentially has been achieved for the digester. In other words, increasing the digester HRT or anaerobic hydrolysis factor will not further improve the VSS destruction. To further increase the VSS destruction in these cases one would need to decrease the influent  $F_{UP}$ , decrease the plant SRT or, in the case of Plant A, maximize the fraction of  $X_S$  converted or convert some  $Z_E$  or  $X_I$  in the TH unit. The high  $X_S$  concentration leaving the digester in Plant B in Scenario 6 indicates that the digester is undersized. However, by implementing thermal hydrolysis prior to the digester in Scenario 6, the VSS destruction may be maximized.

As shown in the above table, the digester pH is essentially equivalent in Plants A and B for each scenario, even though the digester in Plant A receives pretreated sludge with a low pH. Feeding the digester with thermally pretreated sludge does not cause souring. This is expected as the TH unit and digester in Plant A act similar to a two-stage digester where hydrolysis and acidogenesis take place in the TH unit and acetogenesis and methanogenesis in the digester.

## **Treatment of WAS Only**

As shown in the screen shot below, the VSS destruction, COD solubilization and  $S_{US}$  generation are 47%, 46% and 2%, respectively, in the TH unit. These changes are consistent with those reported in the literature for the treatment of WAS in a batch HPTH process at around 160 to 170°C at 7 bars for approximately 30 minutes.



# The off-gas flow rate per VSS destroyed and methane flow rate per COD destroyed were calculated for Plants A and B. The calculated values shown in the table below are typical for a digester treating only WAS.

ATTRIBUTE	PLANT A	PLANT B
Off-gas flow rate per mass rate VSS destroyed (m <sup>3</sup> /kg)	0.81	0.75
Methane flow rate per mass rate COD destroyed (m <sup>3</sup> /kg)	0.38	0.38

The VSS destruction, digester off-gas flow rate, COD solubilization across the TH unit and  $X_S$ , S<sub>US</sub>, NH3, N<sub>US</sub>, N<sub>OS</sub> and PO4 concentrations in the digester effluent are shown in the following graphs.



The negligible  $X_S$  concentration in the digester effluent in Plant A indicates that the digester HRT may be reduced. The digester volume was reduced by 70%, lowering the digester HRT from 20 to 6 d. As shown in the graphs below, this resulted in negligible changes in the digester off-gas flow rate and the digester effluent  $X_S$  concentration in Plant A. This demonstrates how implementing thermal hydrolysis prior to anaerobic digestion substantially reduces the required digestion time.



## Conclusion

In this edition of the BioWin Advantage, we introduced the thermal hydrolysis unit and described how the default conversion fractions were empirically derived to represent the transformations occurring in a batch HPTH pretreatment process operated at around 160 to 170°C at 7 bars for approximately 30 minutes. We used a case study to demonstrate the advantages of implementing thermal hydrolysis prior to anaerobic digestion: higher VSS destruction and digester off-gas flow rates and lower required digestion time. We also showed how thermal hydrolysis pretreatment may lead to increased levels of nutrients and soluble unbiodegradable COD in the digester which may be problematic for the plant when the digester supernatant is recycled back to the liquid train.

By adjusting the conversion fractions in the thermal hydrolysis unit to match the predicted and measured COD and nutrient concentrations in the pretreated sludge, the user can customize the TH unit to model the conversions accomplished by different types of pretreatment process, not only HPTH.

We trust that you found this technical topic both interesting and informative.

Please feel free to contact us at support@envirosim.com (Subject: The BioWin Advantage) with your comments on this article or suggestions for future articles.

Thank you, and good modeling.

#### The EnviroSim Team

#### References

- Belshaw, D., R. M. Edgingtion, and M. Jolly. 2013. Commissioning of United Utilities Thermal Hydrolysis Digestion Plant at Davyhulme Wastewater Treatment Works. Proceedings of the 18th European Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference, Manchester, U.K., November.
- Bourgrier, C., J. P. Delgenès, and H. Carrère. 2008. Effects of Thermal Treatments on Five Different Waste Activated Sludge Samples Solubilization, Physical Properties and Anaerobic Digestion. Chem. Eng. J. 139:236-244.
- Bourgrier, C., J. P. Delgenès, and H. Carrère. 2007. Impacts of Thermal Pre-Treatments on the Semi-Continuous Anaerobic Digestion of Waste Activated Sludge. Biochem. Eng. J. 34:20-27.
- Burger, G. 2012. Investigation of the Impacts of Thermal Activated Sludge Pretreatment and Development of a Pretreatment Model. Thesis (MASc). University of Waterloo.

Climent, M., I. Ferrer, M. del Mar Baeza, A. Artola, F. Vazquez, and X. Font. 2007. Effects of Thermal and Mechanical Pretreatments of Secondary Sludge on Biogas Production Under Thermophilic Conditions. Chem. Eng. J. 133:335-345.

- bDonoso-Bravo, A. S., Perez-Elvira, E. Aymerich, and F. Fdz-Polanco. 2010. Assessment of the Influence of Thermal Pretreatment Time on the Macromolecular Composition and Anaerobic Biodegradability of Sewage Sludge. Biores. Tech. 102:660-666.
- Dwyer, J., D. Starrenburg, S. Tait, K. Barr, D. J. Batstone, and P. Lant. 2008. Decreasing Activated Sludge Thermal Hydrolysis Temperature Reduces Product Colour, Without Decreasing Degradability. Water Res. 42:4699-4709.
- Fountain, P., and G. Strange. 2013. Control of Pre-THP Dewatering and Feed to THP Using Sludge Bypass and Back-Mixing. Proceedings of the 18th European Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference, Manchester, U.K., November.
- Gurieff, N., J. Bruss, S. Hoejsgaard, J. Boyd, and M. Kline. 2011. Maximizing Energy Efficiency and Biogas Production: EXELYS – Continuous Thermal Hydrolysis. Proceedings of the WEFTEC Conference, Los Angeles, California, October.
- Gorgec, A. G., G. Insel, D. Ringoot, and B. Keskinler. 2013. Model Predictive Plant-Wide Energy and Process Performance Analyses for a Large-Scale Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant.Proceedings of the 18th European Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference, Manchester, U.K., November.
- Mills, N., H. Martinicca, P. Fountain, A. Shana, S. Ouki, and R. Thorpe. 2013. Second Generation Thermal Hydrolysis Process. Proceedings of the 18th European Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference, Manchester, U.K., November.
- Morgan-Sagasume, F., S. Pratt, A. Karlsson, D. Cirne, P. Lant, and A. Werker. 2010. Production of Volatile Fatty Acids by Fermentation of Waste Activated Sludge Pretreated in Full-Scale Thermal Hydrolysis Plants. Biores. Tech. 102:3089-3097.
- Oliveira, I., F. Hegarty, J. Reed, V. Wilson, and S. Esteves. Ammonia Stripping Methodologies for Pre and Post Digested Thermally Hydrolysed WAS: Preliminary Investigations. Proceedings of the 18th European Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference, Manchester, U.K., November.
- Shana, A., P. Fountain, N. Mills, and P. Hunt. 2013. SAS Only THP with Series Digestion
  More Options for Energy Recovery. Proceedings of the 18th European Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference, Manchester, U.K., November.

## **NEWS FROM ENVIROSIM**

Click here to find out the latest EnviroSim News...conferences, training, and more!

# **BIOWIN TRAINING COURSES**

EnviroSim offers training courses on our entire software suite, as well as software independent courses covering general aspects of modeling, model calibration and wastewater processing.

# **BIOWIN PAPERS**

Looking for the title of that BioWin presentation you attended at a recent conference? We list them all here.